



Measure V Citizens' Oversight Committee

1st Floor City Council Conference Room Area 'B'

SPECIAL MEETING

Monday March 2, 2020 5:00 p.m.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Steven Morgan, Ted Ribultan, Chuck Roulund Sr., Jeff P Morgan, Erik Severson

Statements from the Public

The public will be allowed to address the Committee during the public comment section of the agenda about subjects within the jurisdiction of the Committee and that do not already appear on the agenda. No action may be taken on off-agenda items unless authorized by law. Questions posed to the Committee may be answered after the meeting or at a future meeting. Dialog or extended discussion between the public and the Committee or staff will be limited in accordance with the Brown Act. The public comment portion of the meeting will be limited to five (5) minutes per speaker. Each person is limited to one comment during public comments. All remarks and questions should be addressed to the Committee as a whole and not to any individual member or staff.

CALL TO ORDER – 5:01pm

ROLL CALL - Steven Morgan, Ted Ribultan, Jeff P Morgan, Erik Severson, Chuck Roulund Sr. (5:15pm)

APPROVAL OF AGENDA - Approved

APPROVAL OF MINUTES – Feb 24, 2020, Ted requested assign a new letter for the Excel spreadsheet in Discussion Items. Approved as amended.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OF ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA - none

DISCUSSION AND OTHER ACTION ITEMS

1. Update on Committee scheduled meetings with Staff (reoccurring discussion item)
 - Chuck and Steven met with Cheri.
 - Erik/Ted/Bard meeting scheduled 3/5.
 - JP will be meeting with PD.
2. Questions regarding report sections:
 - Public Works: Erik/Ted
 - Questions from Erik. Ted submitted questions to PW. Bard discussion re: level of detail appropriate (ex. bucket purchase), discussion re: Slurry machine PO, explanation of encumbrances, discussion re: # of potholes filled.
 - Police Dept: JP
 - Requested PD report (like last year), crime stats, PD purchases
 - Finance: Steven/Chuck
 - Chuck requested discussion limited to Committee. Discussion re: Measure V definition and approach to evaluation. Discussion re: Chucks lists of questions (attached).

- Opening/closing
 - Tables and graphs need to be updated – JP will handle.
 - Discussion re: Encumbrance amount, Downs project
 - JP: Discussion re: total amount of Measure V spent FY18/19

COMMITTEE COMMENTS

Steven: Discussion of assigned sections, intent of Committee, not adversaries, goal of 5/11 report completion

JP: If data is in the CAFR it should also be in our report (ex. Potholes)

Chuck Sr: Intention of 3/30 meeting

Ted: Discussion re: intent of Measure V, format of report.

SUPPORT STAFF COMMENTS

Rebecca: Requested electronic copy of Chuck's questions.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

NEXT MEETING – March 30th, 2020

ADJOURNMENT – 6:10pm

ATTACHMENTS:

Questions submitted by Chuck Sr. (2 pages)

Measure V questions page 1

Great to see we have a better process this year to generate the Measure V report.

Would like to verify with Measure V committee that we are all talking the same language for the purpose of measure V funds and reduce or eliminate confusion in the future, I would like to know what the Committee members thoughts, I would appreciate if we can have this discussion without staff input. We will appreciate their input after committee agrees on our purpose to verify funds are used as citizens vote intended.

Would like committee take a vote to clarify that the measure V funds should be used for police officers and new road installation and repairs of exiting city streets? As understood by the voters to be the intent?

Measure V questions page 2

Now that we now and are somewhat in on the same page / agreement on the principles and intent of measure V can we get the input from staff or committee member to create an accurate assessment for this year's report.

Also want to verify that Measure V funds should not be used for transportation department, building department, traffic flow and signage, software upgraded and purchases not directly related to police and Roads department, training not directly related to Roads and police. As understood by the voters to be the intent?

Do we think Measure V funds should be used to pay for mistakes by the building department?

Do we think that measure V funds should be used to support transit shortfalls?

Do we think measure V funds should be used for traffic control?

Were any measure V funds used for the traffic reprogramming grant on south China lake Blvd.? If so, how much?

Were any measure V funds used for the 80K the city spent for 4 stop signs on bowman and downs? If so, how much?

Were any measure v funds proposed or used for engineering cost to move power poles on downs street project? If so, how much?

Are any measure V funds used for the repaving of city parking facilities? I.e. the movie theater parking lot? If so, how much?